

Susan Gatford
Owen Dixon Chambers
ABN: 32 937 739 507

Liability limited under a scheme approved under the Professional Standards Legislation

Tel: (DL) +61 3 9225 7556

Tel: (M) +61 419 879 240

Fax: +61 3 9225 7907

E-mail: susangatford@vicbar.com.au

c/- Svenson Barristers List S

205 William Street

Melbourne

Victoria 3000

Australia

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY PROCEEDINGS

Key Logic Pty Ltd v Sun-Wizard Holding Pty Ltd [2021] FCA 208 (Greenwood J)

Retained as sole counsel for the respondent. The registered design was for a solar bollard.

Axent Holdings Pty Ltd t/a Axent Global v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd [2020] FCA 1373; (Kenny J) *Axent Holdings Pty Ltd v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd (No 5) [2018] FCA 675*; *Axent Holdings Pty Ltd v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd (No 4) [2018] FCA 674*; *Axent Holdings Pty Ltd v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd (No 3) [2018] FCA 6*; *Axent Holdings Pty Ltd v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd (No 2) [2017] FCA 1102*; *Axent Holdings Pty Ltd v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd [2017] FCA 1077* *Axent Holdings Pty Ltd t/a Axent Global v Compusign Australia Pty Ltd [2020] FCA 1835*

Retained as sole counsel for the applicant patentee. The patent concerned a variable speed limit system.

Magi Enterprises Pty Ltd v Luvalot Clothing Pty Ltd (No 2) [2017] FCA 1143 (Murphy J); *Magi Enterprises Pty Ltd v Luvalot Clothing Pty Ltd [2017] FCA 340*

Retained as sole counsel for the design owner. The design was for a ladies' garment.

Tramanco Pty Ltd v BPW Transpec Pty Ltd [2012] FCA 613 (Dowsett J); *Tramanco Pty Ltd v BPW Transpec Pty Ltd [2014] FCAFC 23* (Allsop CJ, Greenwood and Nicholas JJ)

Retained as counsel for the respondent with Peter Collinson QC at trial and on appeal. The patent concerned a method of monitoring the performance of a vehicle suspension system.

Ranbaxy Laboratories Limited v AstraZeneca AB [2013] FCA 368 (Middleton J)

Retained as counsel for the Respondent with Ross Macaw QC and Adrian Ryan SC. Three patents were in issue, all relating to the proton pump inhibitor omeprazole, which is prescribed for the treatment of heartburn and gastric reflux.

Ladakh Pty Ltd v Quick Fashion Pty Ltd [2011] FMCA 519 (Riley FM); *Ladakh Pty Ltd v Quick Fashion Pty Ltd [2012] FCA 389* (Jessup J)

Retained as sole counsel at trial and with Adrian Ryan SC in the appeal defending allegations of copyright infringement.

[Review 2 Pty Ltd v Redberry Enterprise Pty Ltd \[2008\] FCA 1588](#) (Kenny J)

Retained by the respondent. The first case under the *Designs Act 2003*, it involved the validity and infringement of a registered design for a ladies' dress.

[World of Technologies \(Aust\) Pty Ltd v Tempo \(Aust\) Pty Ltd \[2007\] FCA 114](#) (Jessup J)

Retained by the respondent to defend allegations of registered design infringement and cross-claim for damages as a result of unjustified threats of infringement.

CORPORATIONS, COMMERCIAL AND AUSTRALIAN CONSUMER LAW PROCEEDINGS

[Tikiri Pty Ltd v Fung \[2016\] VSC 460](#) (Ierodiaconou AsJ)

Retained as sole counsel for the defendant, a hearing as to the applicability of section 28(2) of the *Evidence (Miscellaneous Provisions) Act 1958* in a proceeding involving allegations of misuse of confidential information by a medical practitioner.

[Cleal Holdings Pty Ltd v JG King Developments \[2015\] VSC 414](#) (Ierodiaconou AsJ) [Cleal Holdings v JG King Developments \[2015\] VSC 573](#) (Digby J)

Retained as sole counsel for the plaintiff. An application and appeal as to the adequacy of security for costs orders made in a proceeding concerning a property development.

[Jack Brabham Engines Limited v Beare \[2010\] FCA 872](#) (Jagot J)

Retained as sole counsel for the respondent. The proceeding involved the licensing and funding arrangements for a new type of motor bike engine, with allegations of misleading conduct, injurious falsehoods and breach of the *Corporations Act*

[Polaris Communications Pty Ltd v Dynamic Hearing Pty Ltd \[2009\] FCA 890](#) (Sundberg J) [Dynamic Hearing Pty Ltd v Polaris Communications Pty Ltd \[2010\] FCAFC 135](#) (Moore, Besanko and Gordon JJ)

Retained as counsel for the respondent with Colin Golvan QC. The proceeding concerned a misleading conduct claim arising from the publication of a comparative report testing two acoustic shock protection devices.